arXiv:math/9302207v1 [math.FA] 4 Feb 1993

How many vectors are needed to compute (p,q)-summing norms?[‡]

Martin Defant
Marius Junge

Abstract

We show that for $q < p$ there exists an $\alpha < \infty$ such that

$$\pi_{pq}(T) \;\leq\; c_{pq}\, \pi_{pq}^{[n^\alpha]}(T) \qquad\qquad \text{for all } T \text{ of rank } n.$$

Such a polynomial number is only possible if $q = 2$ or $q < p$. Furthermore, the growth rate is linear if $q = 2$ or $\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} > \frac{1}{2}$. Unless $\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2}$ this is also a necessary condition. Based on similar ideas we prove that for $q > 2$ the Rademacher cotype constant of a n-dimensional Banach space can be determined with essentially $n(1 + \ln n)^{c_q}$ many vectors.

Introduction

In the local theory of Banach spaces the concept of summing operators is of special interest. The presented paper is concerned with the following problem raised up by T. Figiel.

For given $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$ what is the best rate k_n, such that

(*)
$$\pi_{pq}(T) \quad\leq\quad c\, \pi_{pq}^{k_n}(T)$$

holds for all operators of rank n and some constant c?

In [DJ] an observation of Figiel and Pelczynski was generalized in showing

$$\pi_{pq}(T) \;\leq\; 3\, \pi_{pq}^{16^n}(T)$$

for all q, p and all operators T of rank n. This exponential growth can not be improved in general. Figiel and Pelczynski also showed that there is an operator $T : \ell_\infty^{2^n} \to \ell_2^n$ (the Rademacher projection) such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\pi_1^k(T) \;\leq\; e\, \sqrt{\frac{1 + \ln k}{n}}\, \pi_1(T)\, .$$

Recently, Johnson and Schechtman [JOS] discovered that for $p = q$ and $q \neq 2$ the rate can not be polynomial. More precisely, every sequence satisfying (*) growth faster than any polynomial, i.e.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} k_n\, n^{-t} \;=\; \infty$$

[‡] A preliminary version of this paper occurred as "Absolutely summing norms with n vectors"[DJ2]

for all $0 < t < \infty$. This phenomenon is related with the fact that L_p spaces don't have the polynomial approximation property, which was proved by Bourgain.

By far the nicest and most important result is Tomczak-Jaegermann's inequality, namely

$$\textbf{(1)} \qquad \pi_2(T) \;\leq\; \sqrt{2}\,\pi_2^n(T) \qquad \text{for all } T \text{ of rank } n.$$

In [DJ] a certain type of quotient formula was used to generalize Tomczak-Jaegermann's inequality:

$$\pi_{p2}(T) \;\leq\; \sqrt{2}\,\pi_{p2}^n(T) \qquad \text{for all } T \text{ of rank } n.$$

König and Tzafriri showed that for all $2 < p < \infty$

$$\textbf{(2)} \qquad \pi_{p1}(T) \;\leq\; c_p \pi_{p1}^n(T) \qquad \text{for all } T \text{ of rank } n.$$

In contrast to the case $p = q$ we can show that for $q < p$ the (p,q)-summing norm can be well-estimated by a polynomial number of vectors.

Theorem 1 *Let $1 \leq q \leq p, r \leq \infty$ with $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r}$. Then for all operator T of rank n one has*

$$\pi_{pq}(T) \;\leq\; c_r \begin{cases} \pi_{pq}^n(T) & for\ 1 \leq r < 2 \\ \pi_{pq}^{[n(1+\ln n)]}(T) & for\ 2 = r \\ \pi_{pq}^{[n^{r/2}]}(T) & for\ 2 < r < \infty\ . \end{cases}$$

A very helpful tool in the proof of this theorem is again a quotient formula for (p,q)-summing operators which allows a reduction to the (probably worst) case $q = 1$.

Theorem 2 *Let $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq r \leq s \leq q'$ with $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{s}$. Then for all operator $T : X \to Y$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\pi_{pq}^n(T) \;=\; \sup\{\, \pi_{r1}^n\,(TVD_\sigma) \mid V : \ell_{q'} \to X,\ D_\sigma : \ell_\infty \to \ell_{q'},\ \|\sigma\|_s\,, \|V\| \;\leq\; 1\}\ .$$

For instance the first case of theorem 1 is a direct consequence of theorem 2 and (2). In the other cases a crucial observation of Jameson gives the link between limit orders and number of vectors, see chapter 2. We are in debt to W.B. Johnson for showing us Jameson's paper [JAM]. There has always been a quite close connection between the theory of absolutely (p,q)-summing operators and the theory of cotype in Banach spaces. For instances, as a consequence of Tomczak-Jaegermann's inequality and it's generalization the gaussian cotype constant of an n-dimensional Banach space can be calculated with n vectors. This problem is still open in the case of Rademacher cotype. The presented technique allows us to reduce the number of vectors to the order $n(1+\ln n)^{c_q}$ which indicates a positive solution for the Rademacher cotype. Unfortunately, the constant c_q tends to infinity as q tends to 2.

Theorem 3 *Let $2 < q < \infty$ and E a n dimensional Banach space. For the Rademacher cotype constant one has*

$$C_q(Id_E) \;\le\; 2\, C_q^m(Id_E)\;,$$

where m satisfies the following estimate for an absolute constant c_0

$$m \;\le\; n\,(c_0\,(1+\ln n))^{\frac{1}{1-\frac{2}{q}}}\;.$$

Finally, we want to indicate that a linear growth is only possible if $q = 2$ or $\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \le \frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 4 *Let $1 \le q \le p < \infty$, $q \le r \le \infty$ with $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r}$. If $q \neq 2$ and $2 < r \le \infty$. Then there exists $\alpha > 1$ such that for all sequences k_n with*

$$\pi_{pq}(T) \;\le\; c\,\pi_{pq}^{k_n}(T) \qquad\qquad \textit{for all } T \textit{ of rank } n$$

there exists a constant $\bar{c}$ with

$$n^{\alpha} \;\le\; \bar{c}\,k_n\;.$$

The constructed examples are very closely related to limit orders of (p,q)-summing operators. In fact, it is well known that the identity on ℓ_2^n yields an example for the proposition above as long as $q > 2$. In the case $q < 2$ we intensively use the results of Carl, Maurey and Puhl [CMP] about Benett matrices.

Preliminaries

In what follows $c_0, c_1, ..$ always denote universal constants. We use standard Banach space notation. In particular, the classical spaces ℓ_q and ℓ_q^n, $1 \le q \le \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are defined in the usual way. By $\iota : \ell_q^n \to \ell_p^n$ we denote the canonical identity. Let $(e_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of unit vectors in ℓ_∞. For a sequence $\sigma = (\sigma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell_\infty$, $\tau = (\tau_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell_\infty$ we define

$$D_\sigma(\tau) \;:=\; \sum_k \sigma_k\,\tau_k\,e_k\;.$$

The standard reference on operator ideals is the monograph of Pietsch [PIE]. The ideals of linear bounded operators, finite rank operators, integral operators are denoted by $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{I}$. Here the integral norm of $T \in \mathcal{I}(X,Y)$ is defined by

$$\iota_1(T) \;:=\; \sup\{|tr(ST)| \mid S \in \mathcal{F}(Y,X),\; \|S\| \le 1\}\;.$$

Let $1 \le q \le p \le \infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ the pq-summing norm of T with respect to n vectors is defined by

$$\pi_{pq}^n(T) \;:=\; \sup\left\{ \left(\sum_1^n \|Tx_k\|^p\right)^{1/p} \;\middle|\; \sup_{\|x^*\|_{X^*}\le 1} \left(\sum_1^n |\langle x_k, x^*\rangle|^q\right)^{1/q} \;\le\; 1 \right\}\;.$$

An operator is said to be absolutely pq-summing, short pq-summing, ($T \in \Pi_{pq}(X,Y)$) if

$$\pi_{pq}(T) \;:=\; \sup_n \pi_{pq}^n(T) \;<\; \infty\;.$$

Then (Π_{pq}, π_{pq}) is a maximal and injective Banach ideal (in the sense of Pietsch). As usual we abbreviate $(\Pi_q, \pi_q) := (\Pi_{qq}, \pi_{qq})$. For further information about absolutely pq-summing operators we refer to the monograph of Tomczak-Jaegermann [TOJ]. In particular, we would like to mention an elementary observation of Kwapien, see [TOJ]. Let $1 \le q \le p \le \infty$, $1 \le \bar{q} \le \bar{p} \le \infty$ with $q \le \bar{q}$, $p \le \bar{p}$ and $\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\bar{q}} - \frac{1}{\bar{p}}$. Then one has for all T

$$\pi^n_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}(T) \ \le \ \pi^n_{pq}(T) \ .$$

For $2 \le q < \infty$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the Rademacher (gaussian) Cotype q norm with respect to n-vectors is defined by

$$C^n_q(T) \ \ (\tilde{C}^n_q(T)) \ := \ \sup\{ \left(\sum_1^n \|Tx_k\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \Big| \left(\int_\Omega \left\| \sum_1^n v_k x_k \right\|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le 1 \} \ ,$$

where $(v_k)_1^n$ is a sequence of independent Bernoulli (gaussian) variables on a probability space (Ω, μ). An operator is said to be of Rademacher (gaussian) cotype q if the corresponding norm

$$C_q(T) \ := \ \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} C^n_q(T) \ \left(\tilde{C}_q(T) \ := \ \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \tilde{C}^n_q(T) \right)$$

is finite. For further information and the relation between gaussian coype and (q,2)-summing operators see for example [TOJ].

1 Positive Results

We start with the
Proof of theorem 2: " $\le$ " Let $x_1, .., x_n \in X$ with

$$\sup_{\|\alpha\|_{q'} \le 1} \left\| \sum_1^n \alpha_k x_k \right\| \ = \ \sup_{\|x^*\|_{X^*}} \left(\sum_1^n |\langle x_k, x^* \rangle|^q \right)^{1/q} \ \le \ 1.$$

Therefore the operator $V := \sum\limits_1^n e_k \otimes x_k : \ell_{q'} \to X$ is of norm 1. By the equality case of Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_1^n \|Tx_k\|^p \right)^{1/p} \ &= \ \sup_{\|\sigma\|_s \le 1} \left(\sum_1^n (|\sigma_k| \, \|Tx_k\|)^r \right)^{1/r} \\ &\le \ \sup_{\|\sigma\|_s \le 1} \ \pi^n_{r1}(TVD_\sigma) \ . \end{aligned}$$

" $\ge$ " By the maximality of the norms π^n_{r1} there is no restriction to assume $D_\sigma : \ell^m_\infty \to \ell^m_{q'}$ and $V : \ell^m_{q'} \to X$ with $\|\sigma\|_s , \|V\| \le 1$. Now let $U : \ell^n_\infty \to \ell^m_\infty$ an operator of norm 1. By an observation of Maurey [MAU] the extreme points of such operators are of the form

$$U \ = \ \sum_1^n e_k \otimes g_k \ ,$$

with the g_k's are of norm 1 and have disjoint support. Since we have to estimate the convex expression

$$\left(\sum_1^n \|TVD_\sigma U(e_k)\|^r \right)^{1/r}$$

we can assume the that U is of this form. We define τ and $J : \ell_{q'}^n \to \ell_{q'}^m$ by

$$\tau_k \ := \ \|D_\sigma(g_k)\|_{q'} \text{ and } J \ := \ \sum_1^n e_k \otimes \frac{D_\sigma(g_k)}{\|D_\sigma(g_k)\|_{q'}} \ .$$

The operator J is of norm at most 1. Since D_σ is obviously s1-summing we have

$$\|\tau\|_s \ \leq \ \pi_{s1}(D_\sigma)\, \|U\| \ \leq \ \|\sigma\|_s \ \leq \ 1 \ .$$

Therefore we obtain by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_1^n \|TVD_\sigma U(e_k)\|^r \right)^{1/r} &= \left(\sum_1^n \left\| TV\left(\frac{D_\sigma(g_k)}{\|D_\sigma(g_k)\|_{q'}} \tau_k \right) \right\|^r \right)^{1/r} \\ &= \left(\sum_1^n \left(\|TVJ(e_k)\| \ |\tau_k| \right)^r \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_1^n \left(\|TVJ(e_k)\| \right)^p \right)^{1/p} \ \|\tau\|_s \\ &\leq \pi_{pq}^n(T)\, \|VJ\| \ \|\tau\|_s \ \leq \ \pi_{pq}^n(T) \ . \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we will formulate a generalization of Jameson's lemma [JAM] which he proved in the case $q = 1$, $p = 2$.

Lemma 1.1 (Jameson) *Let $1 \leq q < p \leq \infty$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ a q-summing operator then*

$$\pi_{pq}(T) \ \leq \ 2^{1/p} \pi_{pq}^n(T)$$

where

$$n \ \leq \ \left(2^{1/p} \frac{\pi_q(T)}{\pi_{pq}(T)} \right)^{\frac{1}{1/q - 1/p}} \ .$$

Proof: Let us assume $\pi_q(T) = 1$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ let $x_1, .., x_N$ in X with

$$\sup_{\|x^*\|_{X^*} \leq 1} \left(\sum_1^N |\langle x_k, x^* \rangle|^q \right)^{1/q} \ \leq \ 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (1-\varepsilon)\pi_{pq}^p(T) \ \leq \ \sum_1^N \|Tx_k\|^p \ .$$

Furthermore, we assume $\|Tx_k\|$ nonincreasing. For $0 < \delta$ we choose $n \leq N$ minimal such that $\|Tx_k\| \leq \delta$ holds for all $k > n$. Then we have $n \leq \delta^{-q}$ because of

$$n\delta^q \ \leq \ \pi_q^q(T) \ \leq \ 1 \ .$$

If $\delta^{p-q} \le \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\pi_{pq}^p(T)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(1-\varepsilon)\pi_{pq}^p(T) &\le \sum_1^N \|Tx_k\|^p \\
&\le \sum_1^n \|Tx_k\|^p + \delta^{p-q}\sum_{n+1}^N \|Tx_k\|^q \\
&\le \sum_1^n \|Tx_k\|^p + \delta^{p-q}\pi_q^q(T) \\
&\le \sum_1^n \|Tx_k\|^p + \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\pi_{pq}^p(T)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

This means

$$(1-\varepsilon)^{1/p}\pi_{pq}(T) \le 2^{1/p}\pi_{pq}^n(T)\,.$$

Letting ε to zero we find an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$n \le \left(\frac{\pi_{pq}^p(T)}{2}\right)^{\frac{-q}{p-q}} = \left(2^{1/p}\frac{\pi_q(T)}{\pi_{pq}(T)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1/q-1/p}} \quad . \qquad \square$$

Remark 1.2 *Exactly the same argument shows that for every operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ which is of (Rademacher) Cotype 2 one has*

$$C_q(T) \le 2^{\frac{1}{q}}\, C_q^n(T)\,,$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies

$$n \le \left(2^{1/q}\frac{C_2(T)}{C_q(T)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1/q-1/2}} \quad .$$

Proof of theorem 3: Let E be a n-dimensional Banach space. According to Jameson's lemma we want to compare the cotype 2 norm with the cotype q norm via the gaussian cotype. It is well-known [PS, theorem 3.9.] that the Rademacher cotype 2 can be estimated by the gaussian cotype 2 norm in the following way.

$$C_2(Id_E) \le c_0\, \tilde{C}_2(Id_E)\, \sqrt{1+\ln \tilde{C}_2(Id_E)}\,.$$

Using the inequalities $\tilde{C}_2(Id_E) \le \sqrt{2}\, n^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}}\, \tilde{C}_q(Id_E)$ and $\tilde{C}_q(Id_E) \le n^{\frac{1}{q}}$, see [TOJ], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
C_2(Id_E) &\le c_0\, \tilde{C}_2(Id_E)\, \sqrt{1+\ln \tilde{C}_2(Id_E)} \\
&\le c_0\, n^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}}\, \tilde{C}_q(Id_E)\, \sqrt{2+\ln n}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this estimate with Jameson's lemma, more precisely the remark above, we see that there is a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$C_q(Id_E) \le 2^{\frac{1}{q}}\, C_q^m(Id_E)\,,$$

with

$$m \le n\,(c_1\sqrt{1+\ln n}\,)^{\frac{1}{1-\frac{2}{q}}} \qquad \square$$

In order to apply Jameson's lemma an appropriate estimate of the 1-summing norm by the r1-summing norm is needed.

Lemma 1.3 *Let $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ an operator of rank n. Then we have*

$$\pi_1(T) \;\leq\; c_0 \pi_{r1}(T) \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1/2} n^{1/2} & \mathit{for}\ 1 \leq r < 2 \\ (n(1+\ln n))^{1/2} & r = 2 \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1/r'} n^{1/r'} & \mathit{for}\ 2 < r < \infty \,. \end{cases}$$

Proof: We may assume $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty, F)$ with $\dim F = n$. The inequality $\pi_2(S : F \to \ell_\infty) \leq \sqrt{n}\,\|S\|$, see [TOJ], implies with Tomczak-Jagermann's inequality

$$\pi_1(T) \;\leq\; \iota_1(T) \;\leq\; \sqrt{n}\,\pi_2(T) \;\leq\; \sqrt{2n}\,\pi_2^n(T) \,.$$

For $2 < r < \infty$ we deduce from Maurey's theorem, see [TOJ]

$$\pi_2^n(T) \;\leq\; n^{1/2-1/r} \pi_{r2}^n(T) \;\leq\; c_0 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1/r'} n^{1/2-1/r} \pi_{r1}(T) \,.$$

For $r = 2$ we choose $2 < \bar{r} < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\bar{r}} = \frac{1}{2+2\ln n}$. With $\pi_{\bar{r}1} \leq \pi_{21}$ we obtain

$$\pi_2^n(T) \;\leq\; 2\, e^2\, c_0 (1+\ln n)^{1/2}\, \pi_{21}^n(T) \,.$$

In the case $1 < r < 2$ we use the other version of Maurey's theorem, see again [TOJ], to deduce

$$\pi_2(T) \;\leq\; c_0 \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1/2} \pi_{r1}(T) \,.$$

Combining the last three estimates with the first one gives the assertion. □

Now we can give the

Proof of theorem 1: First we prove the theorem in the case $q = 1$, hence $p' = r$. From Jameson's lemma 1.1 and lemma 1.3 we deduce for an operator T of rank n

$$\pi_{p1}(T) \;\leq\; 2^{1/p} \pi_{p1}^m(T) \,,$$

where

$$m \;\leq\; (2\,c_0)^r \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} n & \mathit{for}\ 2 < p < \infty \\ n(1+\ln n) & \mathit{for}\ r = 2 \\ \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-r/2} n^{r/2} & \mathit{for}\ 1 \leq p < 2 \,. \end{cases}$$

An elementary computation shows that for all α, $c \geq 1$ one has

$$\pi_{p1}^{[c\alpha]}(T) \;\leq\; (4c)^{1/p} \pi_{p1}^{[\alpha]}(T) \,.$$

Hence we get

$$\pi_{p1}(T) \;\leq\; (16\,c_0)^{r-1} \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1/r'} \pi_{p1}^n(T) & \mathit{for}\ 1 \leq r < 2 \\ \pi_{21}^{[n(1+\ln n)]}(T) & \mathit{for}\ r = 2 \\ \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-(r-1)/2} \pi_{p1}^{[n^{r/2}]}(T) & \mathit{for}\ 2 < r < \infty \,. \end{cases}$$

For an arbitrary $1 \le q \le p \le \infty$ we define $\bar{p} = r'$. Since we have $\frac{1}{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q'}$ we can deduce from theorem 2 and the inequalities above

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{pq}(T) &= \sup\{ \pi_{\bar{p}1}(TVD_\sigma) \mid V : \ell_{q'} \to X,\ D_\sigma : \ell_\infty \to \ell_{q'},\ \|\sigma\|_{q'}, \|V\| \le 1\} \\ &\le c_r \sup\{ \pi_{\bar{p}1}^{m(r,n)}(TVD_\sigma) \mid V : \ell_{q'} \to X,\ D_\sigma : \ell_\infty \to \ell_{q'},\ \|\sigma\|_{q'}, \|V\| \le 1\} \\ &= c_r \pi_{pq}^{m(r,n)}(T)\ , \end{aligned}$$

where $m(r,n) = n$, $m(r,n) = [n(1+\ln n)]$, $m(r,n) = [n^{r/2}]$ for $r < 2$, $r = 2$, $2 < r$, respectively. □

Remark 1.4 *The polynomial order of the vectors needed to compute the pq-summing norm can be improved for several choices of p and q, because they are close enough to the 2. Let* $1 \le q \le p, r \le \infty$ *with* $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r}$. *Then for all operators T of rank n one has*

$$\pi_{pq}(T) \le (c_0)^{r/p} \begin{cases} \pi_{pq}^{[n^{1+r(1/q-1/2)}]}(T) & \text{for } 1 \le q \le 2 \text{ and } 2 \le r \le q' \\ \pi_{pq}^{[n^{r(1/2-1/p)}]}(T) & \text{for } 2 \le q \le \infty\ . \end{cases}$$

Proof: First case: We choose $2 \le s \le \infty$ such that $\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{s}$. By a result of Carl, [CAR], we have together with Tomczak-Jaegermann's and Kwapien's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_q(T) &\le n^{1/q-1/2}\, \pi_2(T) \le \sqrt{2}\, n^{1/q-1/2}\, \pi_2^n(T) \\ &\le \sqrt{2}\, n^{1/q-1/2}\, n^{1/2-1/s}\, \pi_{s2}^n(T) \le \sqrt{2}\, n^{2/q-1/2-1/p}\, \pi_{pq}^n(T)\ . \end{aligned}$$

By Jameson's lemma 1.1 and the elementry estimate in the prove above we obtain

$$\pi_{pq}(T) \le 8^{1/p}\, 2^{\frac{r}{p}(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{2})}\, \pi_{pq}^{[n^{1+r(1/q-1/2)}]}\ .$$

Second case: From Kwapien's and Tomczak-Jaegermann's inequality we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_q(T) &\le \pi_2(T) \le \sqrt{2}\, \pi_2^n(T) \\ &\le \sqrt{2}\, n^{1/2-1/p}\, \pi_{p2}^n(T) \le \sqrt{2}\, n^{1/2-1/p}\, \pi_{pq}(T)\ . \end{aligned}$$

Again with Jameson's lemma 1.1 this implies the assertion. □

At the end of this chapter we would like to note the following

Corollary 1.5 *Let* $1 \le r \le \infty$, *K a compact Hausdorff space and* $T \in \mathcal{L}(C(K), Y)$ *of rank n. Then we have*

$$\pi_p(T) \le c_p (1 + \ln n)^{1/p'} \begin{cases} \pi_p^n(T) & \text{for } 2 < p < \infty \\ \pi_p^{[n^{p'/2}]}(T) & \text{for } 1 < p < 2\ . \end{cases}$$

Proof: Using a result of Carl and Defant, see [CAD], and theorem 2 we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_p(T) &\le c_0\, (1 + \ln n)^{1/p'}\, \pi_{p1}(T) \\ &\le c_p\, (1 + \ln n)^{1/p'}\, \pi_{p1}^{[n^{\max(1,p'/2)}]}(T) \le c_p\, (1 + \ln n)^{1/p'}\, \pi_p^{[n^{\max(1,p'/2)}]}(T)\ . \end{aligned}$$ □

2 Examples

By the positve results of the previous section a polynomial groth can only appear if $\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} > \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore we define for $1 \leq q \leq 2$ the critical value p_q by $\frac{1}{p_q} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}$. In the sequel limit orders of pq-summing operators are of particular interest. We intensively use the results of Carl, Maurey and Puhl, see [CMP]. The next lemma is implicitely contained there but we reproduce the easy interpolation argument.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $0 < \theta < 1$, $1 \leq q, r \leq 2$ and $q \leq p$ with $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-\theta}{q} + \frac{\theta}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\theta}{2}$. Then one has*

$$\pi_{pq}(\iota : \ell_{q'}^n \to \ell_r^n) \;\leq\; n^{1/p} \;.$$

Proof: Clearly one has, see [PIE],

$$\pi_q(\iota : \ell_{q'}^n \to \ell_q^n) \;\leq\; \pi_q(\iota : \ell_\infty^n \to \ell_q^n) \;\leq\; n^{1/q} \;.$$

With Kwapien's inequality, $\pi_{qp_q} \leq \pi_{21}$, we deduce from the Orlicz property of ℓ_2

$$\pi_{qp_q}(\iota : \ell_{q'}^n \to \ell_2^n) \;\leq\; \pi_{21}(Id_{\ell_2^n}) \; \left\| \iota : \ell_{q'}^n \to \ell_2^n \right\| \;\leq\; n^{1/q-1/2} \;.$$

By interpolation, namely $[\ell_q(\ell_q), \ell_{p_q}(\ell_2)]_\theta = \ell_p(\ell_r)$ see [BEL], this means

$$\pi_{pq}(\iota : \ell_{q'}^n \to \ell_r^n) \quad\leq\quad n^{\frac{1-\theta}{q}} \; n^{\theta(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})} \;=\; n^{1/p} \;. \qquad \square$$

Now we can construct the counterexamples

Proposition 2.2 *Let $0 < \theta < 1$, $1 \leq q \leq 2 \leq s \leq \infty$ and $q \leq t$ with $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1-\theta}{q'} + \frac{\theta}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\theta}{2}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_{q'}, \ell_2^n)$ wich satisfies*

$$\frac{\pi_{pq}^k(T)}{\pi_{pq}(T)} \;\leq\; c_0\sqrt{s}\left(\frac{k}{n^{s/2}}\right)^{1/p-1/t}$$

for all $q \leq p \leq t$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: Let $m = [n^{s/2}]$ and $A : \ell_{q'}^m \to \ell_2^n$ be a random matrix with entries ± 1, a so called Benett matrix. Obviously we have

$$\pi_{pq}(A) \;\geq\; m^{1/p}\, n^{1/2} \;\geq\; \frac{1}{2}\, n^{1/2+s/2p} \quad \text{for all } q \leq p \;.$$

We will see that this estimate is sharp for some indices p. By [CMP, Lemma 5] one has

$$\|A : \ell_{s'}^m \to \ell_2^n\| \;\leq\; c_0\sqrt{s}\max\{n^{1/2}, m^{1/s}\} \;\leq\; c_0\sqrt{s\,n} \;.$$

Since $\frac{1}{s'} = \frac{1-\theta}{q} + \frac{\theta}{2}$ we deduce from Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{tq}(A) &\leq \pi_{tq}(\iota : \ell_{q'}^m \to \ell_{s'}^m)\; \|A : \ell_{s'}^m \to \ell_2^n\| \\ &\leq c_0\sqrt{s}\, n^{1/2}\, m^{1/t} \;\leq\; c_0\sqrt{s}\, n^{1/2+s/2t} \;. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain for arbitrary $q \leq p \leq t$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{pq}^k(A) &\leq k^{1/p-1/t}\, \pi_{tq}^k(A) \\ &\leq c_0\sqrt{s}\; k^{1/p-1/t}\; n^{1/2+s/2t} \\ &\leq c_0\sqrt{s}\left(\frac{k}{n^{s/2}}\right)^{1/p-1/t} \pi_{pq}(A) \;. \qquad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.3 *For* $1 \leq q < 2$ *and* $q \leq p < p_q$ *let* $2 < s \leq \infty$ *defined by* $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{q'} + (1 - \frac{2}{q'})(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})$. *For any sequence* k_n, $c > 0$ *satisfying*

$$\pi_{pq}(T) \ \leq \ C\pi_{pq}^{k_n}(T) \quad \textit{for all } T \textit{ of rank } n$$

there is a constant c_1 *with*

$$n^{s/2} \ \leq \ c_1 e^{c_1 \sqrt{1+\ln n}} \, k_n \ .$$

Proof: We define $\vartheta := 2(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}) < 1$. For $\varepsilon < 1 - \vartheta$ we set $\theta := \vartheta + \varepsilon$ and choose $2 \leq v \leq s$, $p \leq t \leq p_q$ with $\frac{1}{v} = \frac{1-\theta}{q'} + \frac{\theta}{2}$, $\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{\theta}{2}$. Now let us consider the quotient $d_n := n^{s/2} k_n^{-1}$. From Proposition 2.2 we deduce with an elementary computation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C} \ &\leq \ c_0 \sqrt{s} \left(\frac{k_n}{n^{v/2}} \right)^{1/p - 1/t} \ \leq \ c_0 \sqrt{s} \, d_n^{-\varepsilon/2} \, n^{(s-v)\varepsilon/4} \\ &\leq \ c_0 \sqrt{s} \, d_n^{-\varepsilon/2} \, n^{\varepsilon^2 s^2 (1/8 - 1/4q')} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Insetting $\varepsilon = \frac{1-\vartheta}{2}$ yields a constant c_2 such that

$$\ln d_n \ \leq \ c_2 + \left(s^2 (1 - \vartheta) \, (\frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{4q'}) \right) \ln n \ .$$

Therefore there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ we can choose $\varepsilon_n := \frac{\ln d_n}{s^2(1/2 - 1/q') \ln n} < 1 - \vartheta$. An elementary computation gives

$$\frac{1}{C} \ \leq \ c_q \exp \left(- \frac{(\ln d_n)^2}{2s^2 (1/2 - 1/q')(\ln n)} \right) \ .$$

This is only possible if there exists a constant c_3 depending on s, q and C such that

$$\frac{n^{s/2}}{k_n} \ = \ d_n \ \leq \ \exp \left(c_3 \sqrt{1 + \ln n} \right) \ . \qquad \square$$

Remark 2.4 *For* $q = 1$ *the above results can be slightly improved. For* $1 \leq p < 2 \leq s < p' \leq \infty$ *there exists an operator* $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^{[n^{s/2}]}, \ell_2^n)$ *such that for all* $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\pi_p^k(T) \ \leq \ c_0 \sqrt{s} \left(\frac{k}{n^{s/2}} \right)^{1/s - 1/p'} \ \pi_{p1}(T) \ .$$

In particular, the inequality

$$\pi_{p1}(T) \ \leq \ C \, (1 + \ln n) \, \pi_p^{k_n}(T) \qquad\qquad \textit{for all } T \textit{ of rank } n$$

can only be satisfied, if

$$n^{p'/2} \ \leq \ \bar{c} \, e^{\bar{c} \sqrt{1+\ln n}} \, k_n \ .$$

This answers a conjecture of Carl and Defant. They suggested

$$\pi_p(T) \ \leq \ c_p (1 + \ln n)^{1/p'} \pi_{p1}^n(T)$$

for all operators $T \in \mathcal{L}(C(K), Y)$ *of rank n, which turns out to be false. Furthermore, we recover the exponential order of vectors for* π_1. *More precisely, for all* $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ *there is an operator* $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty^{[n^{1+\ln k}]}, \ell_2^n)$ *with*

$$\pi_1^k(T) \ \leq \ c_0 \sqrt{\frac{1 + \ln k}{n}} \, \pi_1(T) \ .$$

Proof: Inspecting the proof of proposition 2.2 we take a Benett matrix $A : \ell_\infty^{[n^{s/2}]} \to \ell_2^n$, whose p1-summing norm satisfies

$$\pi_{p1}(T) \ \geq \ \frac{1}{2}\, n^{1/2+s/2p} \ .$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_p^k(A) &\leq k^{1/p-1/s'} \, \pi_{s'}(A) \\ &\leq k^{1/p-1/s'} \, \pi_{s'}(\iota : \ell_\infty^{[n^{s/2}]} \to \ell_{s'}^{[n^{s/2}]}) \, \left\| A : \ell_{s'}^{[n^{s/2}]} \to \ell_2^n \right\| \\ &\leq c_0 \sqrt{s}\, k^{1/p-1/s'} \, n^{s/2s'} \, n^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2c_0 \sqrt{s} \, \left(\frac{k}{n^{s/2}} \right)^{1/p-1/s'} \pi_{p1}(T) \ . \end{aligned}$$

The logarithmic factor does not affect the calculation in the proof of corollary 2.3. For the last assertion we note that $p' = \infty$ and therefore the choice $s = 2(1 + \ln k)$ implies the assertion.

$\square$

Now we will give the

Proof of Theorem 4: In the case $1 \leq q < 2$ this follows immiadetly from corollary 2.3. We only have to note that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant C_ε with

$$e^{\bar{c}\sqrt{1+\ln n}} \ \leq \ C_\varepsilon n^\varepsilon \ .$$

Now let $2 < q < \infty$. With the help of Benett matrices it was shown in [CMP] that for $2 < q < \infty$

$$n^{q/2p} \ \leq \ c_0 \sqrt{q} \, \pi_{pq}(id_{\ell_2^n}) \ .$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{pq}^k(id_{\ell_2^n}) &\leq k^{1/p} \left\| id_{\ell_2^n} \right\| \ \leq \ k^{1/p} \\ &\leq c_0 \sqrt{q} \, \left(\frac{k}{n^{q/2}} \right)^{1/p} \pi_{pq}(id_{\ell_2^n}) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore every sequence k_n with $\pi_{pq}(T) \leq C \, \pi_{pq}^{k_n}(T)$ must satisfy

$$n^{q/2} \ \leq \ (C \, c_0 \sqrt{q})^p \ k_n \ . \qquad \square$$

Remark 2.5 *For operators defined on n-dimensional Banach spaces the results of* [JOS] *and* [DJ] *imply that the pq-summing norm can be calculated with* $n^{q/2}\,(1+\ln n)$ *many vectors. Therefore the order in the proof of the proposition above is quite correct.*

References

[BEL] J. Bergh and J. Löfström: *Interpolation spaces*; Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.

[CAD] B. Carl and A. Defant: *An inequality between the p- and (p,1)-summing norm of finite rank operators from C(K) spaces.* Israel J. of Math. 74 (1991), 323-325.

[CAR] B. Carl: *Inequalities between absolutely (p,q)-summing norms*; Studia Math. 69 (1980), 143-148.

[CMP] B. Carl, B. Maurey and J. Puhl: *Grenzordnungen von absolut (r,p)-summierenden Operatoren*; Math. Nachr. 82 (1978), 205-218.

[DJ] M. Defant and M. Junge: *On absolutely summing operators with apllication to the (p,q)-summing norm with few vectors*; J. of Functional Ana. 103 (1992), 62-73.

[DJ2] M. Defant and M. Junge: *Absolutely summing norms with n vectors*; preprint

[JAM] G. J. O. Jameson: *The number of elements required to determine (p,1)-summing norms*; to appear in Illinois J. of Math.

[JOS] W. B. Johnson and G. Schechtmann: *Computing p-summing norms with few vectors*; preprint.

[MAU] B. Maurey: *Type et cotype dans les espaces munis d'un structure localement inconditionelle*; Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz 73-74, Ecol- Polyt., Exp. no. 24-25.

[PIE] A. Pietsch: *Operator Ideals*; Deutscher Verlag Wiss., Berlin 1978 and North Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford 1980. Cambridge University Press, 1987.

[PS] G. Pisier: *Factorization of linear operators and Geometry of Banach spaces;* CBMS Regional Conference Series n° 60, AMS 1986.

[TOJ] N. Tomczak-Jaegermann: *Banach-Mazur distances and finite-dimensional operator ideals*; Longmann, 1988.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B10, 47A30,46B07.

Martin Defant

Marius Junge

Mathematisches Seminar der Universität Kiel

Ludewig-Meyn-Str. 4

24098 Kiel

Germany

Email: nms06@rz.uni-kiel.d400.de